Exhibit B

Michigan Department Of Transportation 5100B (5/13)

CHECKLIST TO DESIGNATE AREAS OF EVALUATION FOR REQUESTS FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

Research Administration Use Only

	_				T			
		REQUISITION NUMBER 1770		DUE DATE 6/29/2015	TIME DUE Noon EST			
MDOT PROJECT MAN	NAGER Curtis/ Micha	el Townley	JOB NUMBER (JN) CONTROL SECTION (CS)					
n/a	Cartio, iviloria	or rowingy	1	. 55				
DESCRIPTION								
		el Data and Bridge	M	anagement Software	in the Network Analysis			
	all items to be include	d in RFP						
Check the	e appropriate Tier in t	he box below	Pr	ovide only checked items below i	in proposal			
TIER 1 (\$50,000-\$150,999)	TIER II (\$150,000- \$1,000,000)	TIER III (>\$1,0000,000)						
			Un	derstanding of Service				
	\boxtimes		Pa	st Performance				
			Qι	alifications of Team				
			Qι	ality Assurance/Quality Co	ontrol			
	\boxtimes		wil site be	l be used for all selections e inspection or survey active	f work performed in Michigan unless the project is for on- vities, then location should from the consultant office to rey activity.			
N/A	N/A		Pre	esentation				
N/A	N/A		Те	chnical Proposal (if Preser	ntation is required)			

The prime consultant/vendor is responsible for the successful completion of the service and is expected to perform at least 40 percent of the services, by dollar value. The basis of payment is Actual Costs for Universities and Actual Costs plus Fixed Fee for Consultants as defined in standard MDOT contracts.

If your organization is interested in providing services, please indicate your interest by submitting a proposal following the **research guidelines** near the top of MDOT's Request for Proposals Web page at http://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0,1607,7-151-9625 32842---,00.html.

RFP SPECIFIC INFORMATION

Problem Title: The Use of Element Level Data and Bridge Management Software in the Network

Analysis of Big Bridges OR Number: OR14-022

This is Best Value Selection which means the budget amount submitted with the proposal is a component of the proposal score, not the determining factor of the selection.

PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL INFORMATION

PROPOSAL AND BID SHEET EMAIL ADDRESS -

mdot-rfp-response@michigan.gov with a CC to mdot-research@michigan.gov

GENERAL INFORMATION

Any questions relative to the Research Problem Statement must be submitted by e-mail to: mdot-research@michigan.gov. Questions must be received by 4 business days prior to the RFP due date at 5:00 p.m. EST. All questions and answers will be placed on the MDOT RFP Web site as soon as possible after receipt of the questions and at least three (3) days prior to the due date listed above. The names of organizations submitting questions will not be disclosed.

MDOT is an equal opportunity employer and MDOT DBE firms are encouraged to apply. The participating DBE firm, as currently certified by MDOT's Office of Equal Opportunity, shall be listed in the Proposal.

MDOT AND RESEARCH FORMS REQUIRED AS PART OF PROPOSAL SUBMISSION:

5100D- Request for Proposal Cover Sheet

Schedule of Research Activities Form- Appendix B

Deliverables Table- Appendix A

5100J- Consultant Data and Presignature sheet is required for signatory on this proposal

Research Proposal Budget Form Worksheet Appendix C (Universities)

Or

Bid Sheet and Budget Exhibits required in Priced Proposal Guidelines (Consultants)

MANDATORY ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL

Proposals submitted for this project must be submitted electronically.

The following are Requirements for Electronic Submittals:

- Proposals must be prepared using the most current Research guidelines found at the top of the page- MDOT Research Proposal Guidelines.
- The proposal must be bookmarked to clearly identify the proposal sections (See Below)
- For any section not required per the RFP, the bookmark must be edited to include "N/A" after the bookmark title.
- Proposals must be assembled and saved as a single PDF file
- PDF file must be 5 megabytes or smaller
- PDF file must be submitted via e-mail to MDOT-RFP-Response@michigan.gov with a cc to mdot-research@michigan.gov
- MDOT's requisition number and company name must be included in the subject line of the e-mail. The PDF shall be named using the following format:
 - Requisition#XXX_Company Name.PDF
- MDOT will not accept multiple submittals
- Proposals must be received by MDOT on or before the due date and time specified in
- each RFP

If the submittals do not comply with the requirements, they may be determined unresponsive.

The Proposer will receive an e-mail reply/notification from MDOT when the proposal is received. Please retain a copy of this e-mail as proof that the proposal was received on time. Proposers are responsible for ensuring the MDOT receives the proposal on time.

Contact Contract Services Division immediately at 517-373-4680 if you do not get an auto response

Required Bookmarking Format for RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION PROPOSALS ONLY:

- 1. Request for Proposal Cover Sheet Form 5100D
 - A. Consultant Data and Signature Sheet, Form 5100J (if applicable)
- 2. Understanding of Service
- 3. Qualifications of Team
- 4. Past Performance
- 5. Quality Assurance / Quality Control Plan
- 6. Location
- 7. Pricing Documents/Bid Sheet (if applicable)
- 8. Appendices

NOTIFICATION E-VERIFY REQUIREMENTS

E-Verify is an Internet based system that allows an employer, using information reported on an employee's Form I-9, Employment Eligibility Verification, to determine the eligibility of that employee to work in the United States. There is no charge to employers to use E-Verify. The E-Verify system is operated by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) in partnership with the Social Security Administration. E-Verify is available in Spanish.

The State of Michigan is requiring, under Public Act 200 of 2012, Section 381, that as a condition of each contract or subcontract for construction, maintenance, or engineering services that the pre-qualified contractor or subcontractor agree to use the E-Verify system to verify that all persons hired during the contract term by the contractor or subcontractor are legally present and authorized to work in the United States.

Information on registration for and use of the E-Verify program can be obtained via the Internet at the DHS Web site: http://www.dhs.gov/E-Verify.

The documentation supporting the usage of the E-Verify system must be maintained by each consultant and be made available to MDOT upon request.

It is the responsibility of the prime consultant to include the E-Verify requirement documented in this NOTIFICATION in all tiers of subcontracts.

9/13/12

Michigan Department of Transportation

SCOPE OF SERVICE **FOR RESEARCH SERVICES**

TITLE: The Use of Element Level data and Bridge Management Software in the Network **Analysis of Big Bridges** OR#:14-022

LOCATION: Statewide

WORK DESCRIPTION: Research on the Use of Element Level Data and Bridge Management

Software in the Network Analysis of Big Bridges

ANTICIPATED START DATE: October 1, 2015

ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE: September 30, 2017

MDOT RESEARCH PROJECT ADMINISTRATION MANAGER:

Michael Townley, P.E. 8885 Ricks Road

Lansing, Michigan 48917

E-MAIL: mdot-research@michigan.gov

GENERAL INFORMATION:

1. PROBLEM TO ADDRESS

Current financial outlay plans for a network of standard bridges are managed by scheduling preservation and replacement projects based upon whole bridge needs. This is viewing element condition as an entirety for the bridge when for complex, long span or large deck bridge (Big Bridges) it may make more sense fiscally to view contiguous element groups, structure components, or bridge spans as a series of interactive networks. The AASHTO National Bridge Elements, Bridge Management Elements, and Agency Defined Elements were developed for standard structures and should be reviewed to see if the elements and their units are appropriate for the management of Big Bridges. Big Bridges are those that because of their size, complexity, or importance have dedicated maintenance and management staff or programs. Big bridges may include slab on girder bridges of significant length as well as other structures including suspension bridges, cable stay, trusses, movable bridges, arches, or boxes. Using element level bridge inspection data, engineers and managers of Big Bridges need to develop short, medium, and long range preservation management plans, they must predict when partial or full replacement of elements or components are most cost effective, and they

need to develop maintenance budgets, business plans, and capital outlay planning accordingly. Management of the decks of big bridges is of particular interest.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Develop a methodology to utilize element level bridge inspection data and maintenance history to enhance the current bridge inspection and management reports to develop short, medium, and long range preservation management plans and predict when partial or full replacement of elements or components would be most cost effective.

3. URGENCY AND IMPLEMENTATION BENEFIT TO MDOT

This research will be implemented by bridge inspectors, engineers, and Big Bridge managers to select and plan for appropriate bridge maintenance and preservation activities on Big Bridges.

The benefits which may be seen through this research are optimal cost effective preservation and capital outlay planning for timely preservation and replacement of bridge elements or components.

4. RISKS OR OBSTACLES TO RESEARCH

AASHTOWare Bridge Management is currently being enhanced, and so findings of the research could be incorporated in the new version if recommendations are made in a timely manner.

5. DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS IN AN INVESTIGATOR(S)

Knowledge of bridge engineering and bridge management

Knowledge of bridge inspection and bridge databases

Knowledge of AASHTOWare Bridge Management and the National Bridge Elements

Knowledge of bridge maintenance and preservation activities

There is no statistical qualification requirement for this proposal.

CONSULTANT RESPONSIBILITIES:

- 1. Review, evaluate, and report on ongoing or recently completed research in this topic area.
- 2. Review and report on the state-of-the-art in Big Bridge inspection and management reports, especially as it relates to quantification and location of distress.
- 3. Survey states to ID bridge types/size/characteristics that define Big Bridges that merit refined bridge inspection and management.
- 4. Review the state-of-the-art in Big Bridge management methodology including evaluation of software (including the AASHTOWare Bridge Management software) and other analytical tools and processes (such as spread sheets, analytical procedures, or other software) that are best suited for management of Big Bridges.
- 5. Develop and recommend a methodology for the inspection of Big Bridges using Element Level inspection data and recommend what future Big Bridge inspection reports should contain to meet management needs.
- 6. Recommend available software and/or develop the framework for analytical tools and processes to use element level inspection data to manage Big Bridges including element or component level

deterioration modeling, maintenance history, life cycle cost analysis, inclusion of operational needs, and risk assessment. Emphasize should be placed on management of bridge decks which may benefit from advanced inspection techniques (Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)) and mapping location of distress and history of repairs. The research being done as part of the FHWA's Long Term Bridge Performance Program may be a good reference.

7. Chapters of the draft final report shall be submitted to the advisory panel as research tasks are completed. The final report shall be submitted for research advisory panel review and the final report completed after all review comments are made.

Failure of any of the above will be found in noncompliance with the contract.

DELIVERABLES:

- 1. Report of research
- 2. Recommendations for Element Inspection and Management for Big Bridges
- 3. Recommendations for modifications to use AASHTOWare Bridge Management to account for Big Bridges
- 4. Recommendations for how to apply the method developed to a Big Bridge

MDOT RESPONSIBILITIES:

MDOT will aid the research team by providing the current National Bridge Elements, Bridge Management Elements and Agency Defined Elements for MDOT Big Bridges.

COORDINATION PROCEDURES

Work will be completed in compliance with the Research Implementation Manual

CONSULTANT PAYMENT

The basis of payment is Actual Costs for Universities and Actual Costs plus Fixed Fee for Consultants as defined in standard MDOT contracts.

Compensation for this project shall be on an **actual cost** basis. This basis of payment typically includes an estimate of labor hours by classification or employee, hourly labor rates, applied overhead, other direct costs, and subconsultant costs. This basis of payment is typically used for non-profits or universities.

Payment to the Consultant for services rendered shall not exceed the maximum amount unless an increase is approved in accordance with the contract with the Consultant. Typically, billings must be submitted within 60 days after the completion of services for the current billing. The final billing must be received within 60 days of the completion of services. Refer to your contract for your specific contract terms.

All billings for services must be directed to the Department and follow the current Research Implementation Manual. This document contains instructions and forms that must be followed and used for billing. Payment may be delayed or decreased if the instructions are not followed.

Payment to the Consultant for services rendered shall not exceed the maximum amount unless an increase is approved in accordance with the contract with the Consultant.

MDOT will reimburse the consultant for vehicle expenses and the costs of travel to and from project sites in accordance with MDOT's Travel and Vehicle Expense Reimbursement Guidelines, dated May 1, 2013. The guidelines can be found at

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/Final_Travel_Guidelines_05-01-

<u>13 420289 7.pdf?20130509082418</u>. MDOT's travel and vehicle expense reimbursement policies are intended primarily for construction engineering work. Reimbursement for travel to and from project sites and for vehicle expenses for all other types of work will be approved on a case by case basis.

MDOT will pay overtime in accordance with MDOT's Overtime Reimbursement Guidelines, dated May 1, 2013. The guidelines can be found at

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/Final_Overtime_Guidelines_05-01-

<u>13 420286 7.pdf?20130509081848</u>. MDOT's overtime reimbursement policies are intended primarily for construction engineering work. Overtime reimbursement for all other types of work will be approved on a case by case basis.

Actual Cost Plus Fixed Fee:

Compensation for this project shall be on an **actual cost plus fixed fee** basis. This basis of payment typically includes an estimate of labor hours by classification or employee, hourly labor rates, applied overhead, other direct costs, subconsultant costs, and applied fixed fee. The fixed fee for profit allowed for this project is 11.0% of the cost of direct labor and overhead.

All billings for services must be directed to the Department and follow the current guidelines. The latest copy of the "Professional Engineering Service Reimbursement Guidelines for Bureau of Highways" is available on MDOT's website. This document contains instructions and forms that must be followed and used for billing. Payment may be delayed or decreased if the instructions are not followed.

Payment to the Consultant for services rendered shall not exceed the maximum amount unless an increase is approved in accordance with the contract with the Consultant. Typically, billings must be submitted within 60 days after the completion of services for the current billing. The final billing must be received within 60 days of the completion of services. Refer to your contract for your specific contract terms.

Direct expenses, if applicable, will not be paid in excess of that allowed by the Department for its own employees in accordance with the State of Michigan's Standardized Travel Regulations. Supporting

documentation must be submitted with the billing for all eligible expenses on the project in accordance with the Reimbursement Guidelines. The only hours that will be considered allowable charges for this contract are those that are directly attributable to the activities of this project.

MDOT will reimburse the consultant for vehicle expenses and the costs of travel to and from project sites in accordance with MDOT's Travel and Vehicle Expense Reimbursement Guidelines, dated May 1, 2013. The guidelines can be found at

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/Final_Travel_Guidelines_05-01-

<u>13 420289 7.pdf?20130509082418</u>. MDOT's travel and vehicle expense reimbursement policies are intended primarily for construction engineering work. Reimbursement for travel to and from project sites and for vehicle expenses for all other types of work will be approved on a case by case basis.

MDOT will pay overtime in accordance with MDOT's Overtime Reimbursement Guidelines, dated May 1, 2013. The guidelines can be found at

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/Final_Overtime_Guidelines_05-01-

13 420286 7.pdf?20130509081848. MDOT's overtime reimbursement policies are intended primarily for construction engineering work. Overtime reimbursement for all other types of work will be approved on a case by case basis.

PROPOSAL INFORMATION AND SCORING

Formal proposals are required and shall include the information as outlined in these Guidelines. This section is the information required in the proposal that will be used to score the qualifications of each consultant's proposal. The section numbering correlates to the score sheet. Therefore, the consultant should format their proposals consistent with the outline provided.

1. UNDERSTANDING OF SERVICE: 40 POINTS

Describe understanding of the service intended to be proposed. This information is to be based on the scope of services.

Problem Statement and Background Summary- demonstrates good understanding of problem, looks objectively at problem, specifies problem limits and restricts scope appropriately, and cites relevant literature.

Research Plan- cites specific objectives clearly, technical approach responds to all written and implied requirements, difficult areas are identified and details to overcome are given, represents novel idea or technical approach, plan is feasible, and effort is consistent with scope of problem.

Products and Implementation- proposal clearly defines products to be delivered at completion, includes practical, realistic implementation plan.

MDOT Involvement- MDOT involvement is not excessive and is clearly defined and quantified.

2. QUALIFICATIONS OF TEAM: 30 POINTS –

Describe the structure of the project team including the roles of all key personnel and subcontractors. For each subcontractor describe role in service and include what percent of the task that the subcontractor is expected to provide. Provide résumés for each of the key staff of the prime and subcontractor.

Facilities- proposer has adequate access to equipment and/or laboratory required in study.

Staffing- personnel availability is clearly defined, shows a depth of qualified personnel, proposer has ability to manage a project of this size an sufficient resources to complete study, qualifications are directly related to the requirements of the project, plans for specific key personnel assignment included, and there is a reasonable balance between subcontractor and prime contractor.

Statistical Qualification- The required knowledge level for a research team in statistical analyses, if defined, will be in the RFP under the heading DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS IN AN INVESTIGATOR(S).

Proposals not documenting statistical training and experience levels required in the RFP may be classified as non-responsive.

3. RELEVANT PAST PERFORMANCE: 30 POINTS

The project manager will contact references and review relevant performance evaluations from the past 5 years.

Record of past accomplishment- proposer satisfactorily completed past projects, was cooperative and flexible, and ended past projects according to the original budget and time schedule.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QAQC) PLAN: 5 POINTS

The proposer provided an outline of a QA/QC process. The QA/QC Manager is experienced with MDOT standards and practices.

5. LOCATION: 5 POINTS

The percentage of work hours performed in Michigan will be used for all selections unless the project is for on-site inspection or survey activity. The combination of location and percentage of work performed in Michigan should not exceed 5 points.

Percentage of Work To Be Done in Michigan Score

Beele	
95% to 100%	5
80% to 94%	4
50% to 79%	3
25% to 49%	2
10% to 24%	1
Less than 10%	0

6. PRICE: 40 POINTS

Cost score is based on the lowest cost proposed divided by the current proposer cost multiplied by 40. Lowest bid shall receive 40 points.

TOTAL POINTS: 150

	=			Resear	ch Propo	sal Budg	et Form Wo	orksheet				
	oject Title											
Research Org	anization											
	Date											
								FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	TOTAL
ALARIES & WAGES	MUST C	OMPLY W	ITH OMB C	CIRCULAR	A-21		=	=	=======================================	=	=	
pecify number of hour												
xamples of role of ind	ividual are	Principal In	vestigator,	Technician,	Grad Stud	ent, etc. An	nual wage incre	eases must not	exceed 2%			
ole of individual)												
ame of individual	_											
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
ole of individual)								Į.				
ame of individual		=						Į.				
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)												
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)												
ame of individual												
inter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
role of individual)												
lame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
role of individual)	_			-								
lame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
role of individual)	-			-								
ame of individual												
nter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs					
ite & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.
ole of individual)												
lame of individual								Į.				
inter FY FY1 rate	FY1 hrs	FY2 rate	FY2 hrs	FY3 rate	FY3 hrs	FY4 rate	FY4 hrs	1		1	1	
ate & hrs								\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0
					A 1 =	otal Salary		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.0

FRINGE BENEFITS MUST C	OMPLY WITH ON	/IB CIRCULAR A-21						
Indicate Employee, appropriate	negotiated rate fo	r each and description of						
(e.g Sam Smith, 25%, Summ	ner Faculty. The ra	ate is negotiated betwee	en the university and it's cogr	nizant agency		I		
(Rate Description)				1 1				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name					,		•	*
(Rate Description)				1 1				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name				1				
(Rate Description)	FY2	FY3	FY4	¢0.00	CO OO	CO. OO	CO 00	
(% rate) FY1 Name	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
(Rate Description)				1				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name				¥0.00	Ţ 3.00	+ 5.00	Ţ00	ψ0.00
(Rate Description)				j l				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name						Î		
(Rate Description)								
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name (Rate Description)				4				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Name	112	110	117	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	Ψ0.00
(Rate Description)				1				
(% rate) FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Sub-Total Fringe Ber	nefits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
SUBCONTRACTOR MUST C	-							
A copy of the subcontractor's bu	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
subcontractor costs in excess o subcontractors on a separate lir		payment of invoices tha	it contain subcontractor work	. List all				
Subcontractor Name & Amt.	ic.							\$0.00
Subcontractor Name & Amt.								\$0.00
		Sub-Total Subcontra	actor	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				7	7	7	¥ 3.333	7
TRAVEL MUST COMPLY WI	ITH OMB CIRCUL	.AR A-21						
Must be in accordance with IDS								
In-State Travel (Destinations								
Provide a separate table itemizi	ng costs.							#0.00
Out-of-State Travel (Prior app	arevel required							\$0.00
Provide a separate table itemizi								
	119 00010.							\$0.00
			Sub-Total Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				¥ 3.33		,	T	730

SUPPLIES MUST COM	MPLY WITH OMB CIRCULA	R A-21 (Few	items not a	allowed are: co	mputers. p	rinters. monito	rs. fax machii	nes, printer p	aper, toner ca	artridges.
	s, clips, rubber bands, pos									
	ss cards, staplers, waste ca		,	,			,	,	,	
	ceeds \$2,000. Individual line		ess of \$1.00	0 require a deta	iled explan	ation regardless	of total cost			
(Description)			·	•						\$0.00
(Description)								i		\$0.00
(Description)								i		\$0.00
(Description)								i		\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
, ,				Sub-Total Su	pplies	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
						· •				
	- MUST COMPLY WITH OM excess of \$500. Equipment					nis project				
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)								i		\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)							Ì	i		\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(Description)										\$0.00
(= ====)				Sub-Total Equi	nment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
costs (e.g. graduate stud (Description) (Description) (Description) (Description)	ent tudon).									\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
(Description)								i		\$0.00
, ,			Sub-T	Total Other Exp	enses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
									,	
				Total Sub-	Totals	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	ST COMPLY WITH OMB CI gotiated between the univers			ncy. Indicate th	e type of n	egotiated indired	ct rate used an	d the percenta	age (e.g. On C	ampus
(Type)		(%)								
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	FY1	FY2	FY3	FY4		1		1]	
Enter \$ Amt per FY						\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
,		4		Total Indirect	Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
						** **	*****	*	*	,
			тот	AL PROJECT	COSTS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				Y MATCHING I			i i			\$0.00
				OT PROJECT		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
				- : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ψ0.00	Ψ0.00	ψ0.00	Ψ0.00	Ψ5.00